## 2024-25 DEI Programming Rubric

Criteria	Excellent (5 points)	Good (3 points)	Needs Improvement (1 point)
	Clear, detailed explanation of how the		
	program directly benefits students and	General explanation of henefits to	Vague or unclear how the program
Student-Centered Focus	addresses their needs.	·	benefits students.
Statem centered rocas	addresses then needs.	students, with some detail missing.	benefits students.
	Strong, well-defined partnerships that	Some partnerships mentioned, but not	Minimal or no mention of
Collaborations	enhance the program's effectiveness.	fully explained.	collaborations.
	Specific, measurable, and attainable	General outcomes mentioned with	
Expected Outcomes	outcomes are well-articulated.	limited measurability.	Outcomes are vague or unrealistic.
	Clear, direct connection to multiple	Some connection to the strategic plan	Little or no connection to the strategic
Alignment with Strategic Plan	goals within the strategic plan.	but not fully developed.	plan.
	Strong emphasis on intersectionality	Some consideration of	
	and serving diverse student	intersectionality, but not fully	Little to no consideration of diverse
Intersectionality and Inclusivity	populations.	integrated.	student experiences.

## **Scoring and Allocation Guidelines:**

- **20-25 points:** Strongly consider full funding or a high percentage of the requested amount. These proposals have demonstrated a clear benefit to students and alignment with strategic priorities.
- **15-19 points:** Consider partial funding. These proposals show promise but may need refinement or additional partnerships/outcomes to fully meet the criteria.
- **10-14 points:** Proposals may require significant improvement in multiple areas. Consider requesting revisions before committing funds.

**Below 10 points:** Unlikely to receive funding in the current form, as the proposal does not meet key criteria. Feedback and resubmission may be necessary.

Revised 12/12/2024